## For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 7  | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 8  | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 9  | MICHAEL E. MACKEY, No. C 10-2998 WHA (PR)   |
| 10 | Petitioner, ORDER OF TRANSFER   |
| 11 | vs.   |
| 12 | K. HARRINGTON,  |
| 13 | Respondent.   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | This is a habeas case brought pro se by a state prisoner to challenge denial of parole.               |
| 16 | Petitioner was convicted in Solano County Superior Court, which is in the venue of the Eastern        |
| 17 | District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84. Petitioner is incarcerated in Kern Valley State           |
| 18 | Prison, in Delano California, which also lies within the venue of the Eastern District. <i>Ibid</i> . |
| 19 | Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of          |
| 20 | conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, petitions challenging a conviction are preferably           |
| 21 | heard in the district of conviction. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265,         |
| 22 | 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Because petitioner was convicted in Solano County, this case is                 |
| 23 | <b>TRANSFERRED</b> to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See 28 |
| 24 | U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b). The clerk shall terminate any pending motions from           |
| 25 | this court's docket   |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $G:\ \ PRO-SE\ \ WHA\ \ HC.10\ \ MACKEY2998.TRN.wpd$